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## Motivation

- 3D scenes have a lot of information!
- How should it be represented?
- What are the relationships between objects?

http://www.semantic3d.net/
- Point clouds give raw 3D data
- Easy to acquire as raw data from LIDAR or depth camera
- Files can be huge! Voxels can help sometimes...
- How to represent the important aspects of the scene?
- Semantic segmentation can identify individual objects
- How to store and query spatial configurations?
- Use bounding boxes to represent important objects
- Compute relationships between bounding boxes
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## Applications

- Ultimately, we would like AI systems to have an interpretable understanding of their environment
- This can help design and communicate intended behaviors
- Make an Al agent act more like a human
- Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs)
- Small embedded systems need to respond in real-time
- Require minimal overhead and processing
- Human robot interaction

- Use natural language to communicate
- Mobile computing devices (AR/VR headsets) with limited streaming bandwidth


## Benchmark Datasets

- We assume that a semantic segmentation of the scene can be acquired
- Many recent works for labeling and segmenting point clouds
- Focus here on ground truth, hand-labeled benchmark datasets
- Each object instance is given a unique ID
- Easy to find individual objects or categories
- Looking at the NPM3D benchmark suite
- https://npm3d.fr/paris-lille-3d
- Outdoor street scene (static)

- Chose this dataset because it has ground truth segmentation
- Objects are identified by class and an instance ID
- 50 classes organized in a hierarchal ontology



## University of Missouri

## Example Scene

- We chose to look at an example region with $\sim 10,000,000$ points and $\sim 100$ labeled objects
- How to compute the spatial relationships between objects?
- Each object can be shown with an axis-aligned bounding box (trivial to compute)



## Bounding Box Representation

- Consider the relationship between these two objects.
- We can easily compute the bounding boxes and centroids.



## Triangular Fuzzy Numbers

- Along each dimension, we define a triangular fuzzy number (TFN) from the minimum and maximum extents of the bounding box and the object centroid.
- Store 9 values for each object
$A=\operatorname{Tri}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right), \quad B=\operatorname{Tri}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)$

Object Bounds and Centroid: [min, centroid, max]

|  | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signpost | $[-2.4,-1.8,-1.4]$ | $[0.9,2.0,3.2]$ | $[0,3.2,4.4]$ |
| Light pole | $[-0.6,-0.2,1.7]$ | $[3.9,4.6,4.9]$ | $[0,4.2,10.8]$ |

## Bounding Box Distance

- Using fuzzy arithmetic, the difference between the two objects is computed along each dimension as a new TFN.
- This represents the minimum, maximum, and average distance between objects $A$ and $B$ in each dimension.
- (can be negative)


$$
A-B=\operatorname{Tri}\left(a_{1}-b_{3}, a_{2}-b_{2}, a_{3}-b_{1}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{x}=\operatorname{Tri}(-2.4,-1.8,-1.4) \\
& A_{y}=\operatorname{Tri}(0.9,2.0,3.2) \\
& A_{z}=\operatorname{Tri}(0.0,3.2,4.4)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{x}=\operatorname{Tri}(-0.6,-0.2,1.7) \\
& B_{y}=\operatorname{Tri}(3.9,4.6,4.9) \\
& B_{z}=\operatorname{Tri}(0.0,4.2,10.8)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{x}=B_{x}-A_{x}=\operatorname{Tri}(0.8,1.6,4.1) \\
& D_{y}=B_{y}-A_{y}=\operatorname{Tri}(0.7,2.6,4.0) \\
& D_{z}=B_{z}-A_{z}=\operatorname{Tri}(-4.4,1.0,10.8)
\end{aligned}
$$




## Overall Distance

- The overall distance is computed as the Euclidean norm of the differences along each axis using fuzzy arithmetic.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{x}=\operatorname{Tri}(0.8,1.6,4.1) \\
& D_{y}=\operatorname{Tri}(0.7,2.6,4.0) \\
& D_{z}=\operatorname{Tri}(-4.4,1.0,10.8)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{2}=\operatorname{Tri}\left(a_{\min }, a_{2}^{2}, \max \left\{a_{1}^{2}, a_{3}^{2}\right\}\right), \\
& a_{\min }=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\min \left\{0, a_{1}^{2}, a_{3}^{2}\right\}, & \text { if } a_{1} \leq 0 \leq a_{3} \\
\min \left\{a_{1}^{2}, a_{3}^{2}\right\}, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
D_{x}^{2}=\operatorname{Tri}(0.64,2.56,16.81)
$$

$$
A+B=\operatorname{Tri}\left(a_{1}+b_{1}, a_{2}+b_{2}, a_{3}+b_{3}\right)
$$

$$
D_{y}^{2}=\operatorname{Tri}(0.49,6.76,16.0)
$$

$$
D_{z}^{2}=\operatorname{Tri}(0.0,1.0,116.64)
$$

$$
\sqrt{A}=\operatorname{Tri}\left(\sqrt{a_{1}}, \sqrt{a_{2}}, \sqrt{a_{3}}\right), \quad 0 \leq a_{1} \leq a_{2} \leq a_{3}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{x}^{2}+D_{y}^{2}+D_{z}^{2}=\operatorname{Tri}(1.13,10.32,149.45) \\
D_{A B}=\sqrt{D_{x}^{2}+D_{y}^{2}+D_{z}^{2}}=\operatorname{Tri}(1.06,3.21,12.22)
\end{gathered}
$$



## Spatial Relationship Graph

- Knowing the distances between objects lets us define a spatial relationship graph over a scene to show how objects are connected.
- We'll add an edge between two nodes (objects) if the distance between them is less than some threshold $d$.
- So, we need a way to determine if a triangular fuzzy number represents a distance that is less than $d$.



## TFN Defuzzification

- Given a triangular fuzzy number $X=\operatorname{Tri}(a, b, c)$, we can defuzzify to a crisp value using an optimism/pessimism parameter $\xi \in[0,1]$.

$$
\Gamma(X \mid \xi)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
a+2 \xi(b-a), & \xi \leq 0.5 \\
b+2(\xi-0.5)(c-b), & \xi>0.5
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

- This gives a way to select the minimum ( $\xi=0$ ), maximum ( $\xi=1$ ), or average ( $\xi=0.5$ ) values of the TFN.
- When $\xi$ is high, it's like complete linkage clustering.
- When $\xi$ is low, it's like single linkage clustering.

$$
d=10 \text { meters }
$$



$$
\xi=0
$$

## Distance Queries

- Suppose we want to find all objects that are a certain distance away from a reference object.
- We define the query distance as a TFN $Q=\operatorname{Tri}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right)$.
- The similarity between two TFNs can be computed as the maximum of their intersecting points.

$$
S(A, B)=\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left\{\min \left(\mu_{A}(x), \mu_{B}(x)\right)\right\}
$$

- The distance $D_{A B}$ between objects $A$ and $B$ can be compared with the query distance $Q$ to give the distance similarity $s_{\text {dist }} \in[0,1]$.



## Example Distance Queries



Looking at the heat maps for different distance queries from a person in the scene, outlined with a red box.

## Normalized Direction

- The axis-aligned difference TFNs $D_{x}, D_{y}$, and $D_{z}$ encode both the relative distance and direction between two objects.
- We can use this to compute how much support there is for the statement "Object $B$ is in direction $\widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}$ from Object $A$," where $\widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}$ is a unit vector pointing in the direction of interest.
- First, we need a normalized difference vector, $\widehat{\boldsymbol{D}}=\left[\widehat{D}_{x}, \widehat{D}_{y}, \widehat{D}_{z}\right]$, where $\widehat{D}_{x}, \widehat{D}_{y}$, and $\widehat{D}_{z}$ are normalized versions of the computed difference TFNs $D_{x}, D_{y}$, and $D_{z}$.

$$
\widehat{D}_{k}=\alpha D_{k}, \quad \text { s.t. } \max _{k \in\{x, y, z\}} \widehat{D}_{k}=1
$$

- Consider a 2-dimensional example...



## Directional Similarity

- Given a reference direction $\widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}$, the directional similarity to the normalized difference TFN $\widehat{\boldsymbol{D}}$ is the dot product.

$$
S_{\mathrm{dir}}=\widehat{\boldsymbol{D}} \cdot \widehat{\boldsymbol{u}}=\widehat{D}_{x} u_{x}+\widehat{D}_{y} u_{y}+\widehat{D}_{z} u_{z}
$$

- $S_{\text {dir }}$ is a TFN bounded in the range $[-1,1]$.
- See example...
- To reduce the directional similarity to a scalar value (like distance), we can use the defuzzification parameter $\xi$ and clamp to positive values.

$$
s_{\mathrm{dir}}=\max \left\{0, \Gamma\left(s_{\mathrm{dir}} \mid \xi\right)\right\}
$$

## Example Directional Queries

Strictly to the north


Generally to the northeast

$\hat{\mathbf{u}}=[0.707,0.707,0]$



Looking at the heat maps for different directional queries from a person in the scene, outlined with a red box.

## A Multi-Criteria Framework

- Distance and direction are two features that can be used to search for objects in a scene.
- Other features might include class type, number of neighbors of a certain type, location in world space, etc.
- Our criteria for object selection is represented by a normalized feature vector $\boldsymbol{s}=\left[s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right]$, where each $s_{i} \in[0,1]$ represents the degree to which an object satisfies a particular set of criteria.
- The multidimensional feature vector can be mapped to a single value with a scalarization function $g_{\theta}(s)$, where $\theta$ represents the parameterization.

$$
g_{\mathrm{avg}}(\boldsymbol{s})=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i}
$$

$$
g_{\min }(\boldsymbol{s})=\min _{i} s_{i}
$$

## Example: Multi-Criteria Selection



- Consider a person (red) looking to identify a lamp post that is "near" and to the "front-left".



## Example: Choosing an Exploration Target



Frontier Score (fewer neighbors better) | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Combined Query
(min operator)
Consider an agent in the environment choosing its next exploration target.

Want to select an object to interrogate that is

- Nearby
- In the forward direction
- Near the edge of what's already been explored
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## Conclusions

- We've shown a way to compute distance and directional spatial relationships between objects in a 3D scene represented with axis-aligned bounding boxes.
- These features can be used to construct a spatially attributed graph of the environment and search for objects using multiple criteria.
- Simplifying the representation to bounding boxes instead of full point clouds helps achieve real-time performance on embedded hardware.
- Future directions:

- Handle dynamic environments and an incremental/updating graph
- Integrate with semantic segmentation algorithms for point clouds
- Use a hierarchical representation to represent large compound objects
- E.g., buildings with windows and doorways, roads and intersections, etc.


